

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/616,666	07/10/2003	Mathias Karrer	4100-318	9948		
27799 7	27799 7590 01/23/2006			EXAMINER		
•	NTANI, LIEBERMAN (	RAEVIS, R	RAEVIS, ROBERT R			
551 FIFTH AV SUITE 1210	ENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
NEW YORK,	NY 10176	2856	2856			

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					N			
		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/616,6	66	KARRER ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Robert R		2856				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address	S			
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio p period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF TI FR 1.136(a). In no even. In the seriod will apply and vestatute, cause the apply and vestatute.	HIS COMMUNICATIO  vent, however, may a reply be ti  vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron  blication to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this commun ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	03 January 200	06.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mer								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
÷	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>11-14</u> is/are allowed.							
	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-10 and 15-17</u> is/are rejected.							
*	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.							
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election i	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Example 1	miner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b	☐ objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		• •	<del></del>				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			ed in this National Stag	е			
* 0	application from the International Bu	•	` ''					
	See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the cert	itied copies not receive	ea.				
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Diptorious Comme	· (DTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	/ (P10-413) Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:								

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 6, this claim calls for a "bearing unit" (among additional limitations) in addition to base claim 1's "wheel adapter". Yet, the top paragraph of p. 8 suggests that the bearing is part of the "adapter". Are the same elements of the adapter being claimed twice? (Once in claim 1's "adapter", and a second time in claim 6) If not, where does the adapter end in regard to the structure of Figure 2?

Claims 1,2,3,15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamakado et al.

Yamakado et al teach (Figure 17) a device, including: movable test surface to simulate rolling conditions of a wheel which contacts the test surface with a contact force due; actuators 110 and/or 150 for changing the contact force in a predetermined manner; and structure operable to couple the actuators 110 and/or 150 to the wheel (collectively, the rotating structure to which the wheel is directly attached to on the vehicle), and which rotates with the wheel relative to the actuators. The actuators provide for a means for changing the contact force. In the embodiment of Figure 17, a friction force between the tire and belt can be detected, as described on col. 15, lines

Art Unit: 2856

23-25. No weight was given to the preamble, as it is a statement of intended use, despite that Yamakado does refer to simulation of a "slip" (col. 15, line 22).

As to claim 15, the bearing is operatively connected to the actuators, and the same bearing is connected to rim/hub (adapter).

Claim 4,9,10,7,8,16,17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamakado et al.

As to claim 4, the actuators suggest either electric or hydraulic actuators, as such actuators are strong enough to displace a vehicle. Also, note that Yamakado refers to a "detected force" (col. 3, line 65; col. 3, line 5), suggestive of a means to measure a holding force.

As to claim 9, element 7 is a computer, suggestive of a controller for the actuators.

As to claim 10, the multiple actuators 15,16,110,130 in embodiments (Figures 10 and 13) previous to that of Figure 17 suggest two actuators 110 for vertical displacement, and two actuators 150 for horizontal/vertical displacement.

As to claims 7,8,16,17, "actual running" (col. 2, lines 20-25) and "substantially the same as that during actual running of the vehicle" (ABSTRACT) is suggestive of use a nozzle to spray water on the test surface to test maneuvering on a wet road surface, especially as Yamakado teach measuring "friction" (col. 11, line 13).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Rossi et al teach moving his test roller 3 up and down against a tire on a vehicle.

D'Angelo lifts (via lift 30) a wheel off of rollers 21,25, but does not lift "as the wheel *rotates*" (italics added, last two lines of Applicant's claim 11).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 571-272-2204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30am to 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams, can be reached on 571-272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROWN